

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/764,389	01/23/2004	Jannis G. Stavrianopoulos	Enz-61(D8)	1697	
28171 ENZO BIOCH	ENZO BIOCHEM, INC. 527 MADISON AVENUE (9TH FLOOR)			EXAMINER	
527 MADISO				RILEY, JEZIA	
NEW YORK,	NY 10022	10022		PAPER NUMBER	
			1637		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	05/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/764,389	STAVRIANOPOULOS ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Jezia Riley	1637
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
VVHII - Exte afte - If NO - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI . cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 LLS C & 133)
Status			
2a)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>2/15/8</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	
Disposit	tion of Claims		
5) □ 6) ፟⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Applicat 9) □	Claim(s) <u>287-307</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>287-307</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or tion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r.	
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the conference of the drawing sheet (s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the conference of the drawing sheet (s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the conference of the oath oath of the oath oath oath oath oath oath oath oath	drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have beer u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notio 3) 🔲 Infor	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/764,389

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Applicants' arguments, filed on 2/15/07, have been approved and entered. They have been fully considered. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either newly applied or reiterated. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1637

Claims 287-307 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 3. Glazer et al. (US 5,646,264) in view of Lee et al. US 5,945,526.

Glazer discloses in Figure 4 the synthesis a heterodimeric dye composition comprising a first dye that comprises a phenanthridinium moiety and a second dye that is different from the first dye. The linker that links both dyes can comprise bromine or chlorine counter-ion.

Glazer does not show that the attachment is through the phenyl ring.

Lee et al. disclose heterodimeric dye where the attachment is through a phenyl ring in ortho, meta or para position. See col. 6, Tables 1-5.

Therefore it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to synthesis heterodimeric dyes as taught by Glazer comprising an attachment via the phenyl ring as shown by Lee. The motivation is that the attachment is provided on a ring that is less steric and also viewed as an electrophilic moiety and more reactive toward nucleophilic moiety. It is well known in the art of organic chemistry that a phenyl ring comprising an electron withdrawing moiety such as the phenanthridinium moiety will be more reactive toward nucleophilic group and therefore can be substituted in ortho, meta or para position.

4. Response to Arguments:

Applicants argue that the references do not feature the position of the linkage to a second dye through the phenyl ring of the phenanthridinium moiety because there is no Application/Control Number: 10/764,389 Page 4

Art Unit: 1637

motivation in the art. Additionally applicants mentioned unexpected results which are not disclosed in the instant specification:

"the unexpected results we obtained from the creation of a homodimeric compound resulted in an appreciation that this could also be applied to a heterodimeric compound. Only applicants had access to the unexpected results - they were not in the prior art. And these same unexpected results provided the motivation to create a heterodimeric dye composition using a phenyl group, which is the present invention."

>I. < TO BE OF PROBATIVE VALUE, ANY OBJECTIVE EVIDENCE SHOULD BE SUPPORTED BY ACTUAL PROOF

Objective evidence which must be factually supported by an appropriate affidavit or declaration to be of probative value includes evidence of unexpected results, commercial success, solution of a long-felt need, inoperability of the prior art, invention before the date of the reference, and allegations that the author(s) of the prior art derived the disclosed subject matter from the applicant. See, for example, *In re De Blauwe*, 736 F.2d 699, 705, 222 USPQ 191, 196 (Fed. Cir. 1984) ("It is well settled that unexpected results must be established by factual evidence.""[A]ppellants have not presented any experimental data showing that prior heat-shrinkable articles split. Due to the absence of tests comparing appellant's heat shrinkable articles with those of the closest prior art, we conclude that appellant's assertions of unexpected results constitute mere argument."). See also *In re Lindner*, 457 F.2d 506, 508, 173 USPQ 356, 358 (CCPA 1972); *Ex parte George*, 21 USPQ2d 1058 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1991).

MPEP716.01(c).

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed

within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jezia Riley whose telephone number is 571-272-0786. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571-272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

5/8/07

PRIMARY EXAMINER